(1)

(03)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

F.A. No. 46 of 2006

Appellant/ Applicant :: Purushottam Joshi, S/o. Shri M.d.Joshi, aged about 55 years, R/o. Near Forest Office, Korar Road, Charama, P.O. Charama, District North Bastar, Kanker (C.G.)

Vs.

Respondents

: 1) Smt. Tara Devi Pandey, aged about 75 years, C/o. Prakash Studio, Nenital Road, Haldani, P.O. Haldani, Distt. Nainital (Uttaranchal)

: 2) Smt. Nandi Pandey, aged about 70 years, C/o. S.P.Pandey, Govindpuram, Kusumkheda, Near Hanuman Temple, Haldani P.O. Haripur Naik Via Haldani Distt. Nainital (Uttaranchal)

: 3) Smt. Damyanti Pant, aged about 68 years, Govindpuram, Kusumkheda near Dipti English School, P.O. Haripur Naik Via Haldani Distt. Nainital (Uttaranchal)

: 4) Kailash Chandra Joshi, Teacher, aged about 54 years, R/o. Ramnagar, Kanker, District North Bastar, Kanker (C.G.).

: 5) Prabhashanker Joshi, aged about 54 years, Charama, P.O. Charama, District North Bastar, Kanker (C.G.).

: 6) Chandrakant Joshi, R/o. Near Forest Office, Korar Road, Charama, P.O. Charama, District North Bastar, Kanker (C.G.)

: 7) State of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Kanker District Kanker (C.G.).

P.R. No. 2148/05
Presented by Shri S.P. Yadav
dated 10.12.05

FIRST APPEAL UNDER SECTION 54 OF THE LAND ACQUISITION ACT 1894.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(16)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक FA PR 2148/ सन् **200** 5 - FA 46/2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	03.03.2006 None for the appellant. Shri Jitendra Nath Nande, Panel lawyer for the State /respondent No.7. None for other respondents. Once the case has been called out during course of the day, but for want of prosecution on behalf of the appellant, it was passed over. Now, the case has been called out again, but none appears for the appellant, hence the appeal is liable to be dismissed for want of appearance of the appellant. As per office report, the appeal is barred by 32 days. No application for condonation of delay has so far been filed. For want of appearance of the appellant, the appeal is dismissed. Sd/- V.K.Shrivastava Judge	

padma

(पीछे देखिये)